arXiv:1408.7021v3 [hep-th] 20 Mar 2015

On a lightlike limit of entanglement

K. Narayan

Chennai Mathematical Institute,
SIPCOT IT Park, Siruseri 603103, India.

Abstract

We study certain classes of g_{++} deformations of theories arising in gauge/string realizations of nonrelativistic holography, some of which pertain to $z = 2$ Lifshitz theories while others (pertaining to hyperscaling violation) comprise certain classes of excited states. Building on previous work, we consider holographic entanglement entropy for spacelike strip subsystems in a highly boosted (lightlike) limit, where the strip is stretched along the null x^+-plane. The leading divergence in entanglement in this null limit for these excited states is milder than the usual area law for spacelike subsystems in ground states. For ground states, the entanglement vanishes, perhaps consistent with ultralocality. We discuss this briefly from a field theory perspective. We also present some simple free lightfront field theory examples in excited states where correlators are nonvanishing.

1 Introduction

Certain gauge/string realizations in nonrelativistic generalizations of gauge/gravity duality [1] involve spacetimes of the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{r^2}(-2dx^+dx^- + dx_i^2 + dr^2) + R^2 g_{++}(dx^+)^2 + R^2 d\Omega_S^2 \ . \tag{1}$$

The compact space $d\Omega_S^2$ arising in string/M-theory realizations will not play much role in what follows. For $g_{++} = 0$, we have AdS in lightcone coordinates. When $g_{++} > 0$, dimensional reduction along the bulk x^+-direction (regarded compact) gives interesting theories in lower dimensions, with time $t \equiv x^-$. Explicit examples include $z = 2$ Lifshitz in bulk d-dim [2, 3] arising from x^+-reduction (and on S) of non-normalizable null deformations of $AdS_{d+1} \times S$ [4, 5] (also [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]),

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{r^2}[-2dx^+dx^- + dx_i^2 + dr^2] + K^2R^2(dx^+)^2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad ds^2 = -\frac{dt^2}{r^4} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d_i} dx_i^2 + dr^2}{r^2} \ . \tag{2}$$

These exhibit Lifshitz scaling $t \to \lambda^z t, \quad x_i \to \lambda x_i, \quad r \to \lambda r$, with dynamical exponent z, the lower dimensional theory arising in gravity theories with negative cosmological constant and massive abelian gauge fields. Other examples include AdS_{d+1} plane waves [14, 15, 16] which give rise to hyperscaling violation,

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{r^2}[-2dx^+dx^- + dx_i^2 + dr^2] + R^2 Q r^{d-2}(dx^+)^2 \quad \longrightarrow \tag{3}$$

$$ds^2 = r^{\frac{2\theta}{d_i}}\Big(-\frac{dt^2}{r^{2z}} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d_i} dx_i^2 + dr^2}{r^2}\Big), \qquad z = \frac{d-2}{2} + 2, \quad \theta = \frac{d-2}{2} \ , \quad d_i = d-2. \tag{4}$$

The normalizable g_{++} deformation here represents a state in the dual CFT with uniform energy-momentum density flux $T_{++} \sim Q$. Upon x^+-reduction, we obtain a hyperscaling violating (or conformally Lifshitz) background with Lifshitz z and hyperscaling violating θ exponents above, and d_i the boundary spatial dimension [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24] (and many others in the now large literature). These hyperscaling violating theories arise in Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theories (which arise from the higher dimensional description under x^+-reduction). Some of these exhibit interesting entanglement behaviour [21, 22, 23].

The x^+-dimensional reduction implies that the lower dimensional theory has a time coordinate t identified with lightcone time x^- in the higher dimensional theory (upstairs). It is thus interesting to isolate a lightlike limit upstairs (in particular of entanglement entropy) which describes corresponding features of the lower dimensional theory. In the Ryu-Takayanagi holographic entanglement description [25, 26, 27], this involves calculating the area of an extremal surface on a constant x^- slice (which is spacelike in the bulk since $g^{--} < 0$). Building on the study of entanglement entropy in AdS plane waves [28], we consider a highly boosted limit of

spacelike strip subsystems: in this lightlike limit, the strip is stretched along the null x^+-plane (this is somewhat different from the discussions in [29, 30]). This arises in a certain regime in the dual field theory upstairs involving the UV cutoff and the energy scale contained in g_{++} (sec. 2). The entanglement entropy is calculable exactly in the large N gravity approximation, the entanglement integrals mapping to those in an auxiliary AdS space. Similar structures arise in boosted black branes and nonconformal brane plane waves [31]. The finite cutoff-independent part in the Lifshitz case is larger than that for AdS, perhaps reflecting the IR behaviour. The leading divergence in this lightlike regime for these excited states dual to AdS plane waves is milder than the usual area law divergence for spacelike subsystems in ground states [32]. We give some comments from a field theory perspective (sec. 3): ultralocality [33] suggests vanishing entanglement for the ground state. We present some simple calculations in free lightfront quantization of nonvanishing correlation functions in excited states, suggesting nonvanishing entanglement at weak coupling. Sec. 4 has some discussion.

2 Entanglement entropy in a null limit

We want to consider a lightlike limit of holographic entanglement entropy for the spacetimes (1), building on that for the AdS_5 plane wave in [28]. Consider a strip-like subsystem with width $\Delta x = l$ along some $x \in \{x_i\}$ (the others labelled y_i), and extended along the x^+-direction,

$$x^+ = \alpha\chi, \quad x^- = -\beta\chi, \qquad -\frac{l}{2} < x \leq \frac{l}{2}, \qquad -\infty < \chi, y_i < \infty \ , \tag{5}$$

i.e. wrapping the y_i, χ directions completely. For (5), (1), the holographic entanglement is

$$S \ = \ \frac{V_{d-2}R^{d-1}}{4G_{d+1}} \int \frac{dr}{r^{d-1}} \sqrt{2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2 g_{++} r^2} \ \sqrt{1 + (\partial_r x)^2} \qquad \Longrightarrow \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{l}{2} = \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr \ Ar^{d-1}}{\sqrt{2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2 g_{++} r^2 - A^2 r^{2d-2}}}, \qquad S = \frac{V_{d-2}R^{d-1}}{4G_{d+1}} \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr}{r^{d-1}} \frac{2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2 g_{++} r^2}{\sqrt{2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2 g_{++} r^2 - A^2 r^{2d-2}}},$$

the second line obtained by extremization. ϵ is the UV cutoff, $V_{d-2} = \int (\prod_{i=1}^{d-3} dy_i) d\chi$, and r_* the extremal surface turning point where $\frac{dr}{dx}|_{r_*} = 0$. We have $\frac{R^{d-1}}{G_{d+1}} \sim N^2, \ N^{3/2}, \ N^3$ for D3- (AdS_5), M2- (AdS_4) and M5-branes (AdS_7) respectively. The usual entanglement entropy corresponds to spacelike strips $\alpha = \beta = 1$ on a time slice $T = \frac{x^+ + x^-}{\sqrt{2}} = 0$ and stretched along $x^3 = \frac{x^+ - x^-}{\sqrt{2}}$, with the familiar area law divergence $\frac{V_{d-2}}{\epsilon^{d-2}}$ and subleading terms. The parameters α, β, define the orientation of the (infinitely extended) strip in the $x^\pm$- (or t, x_3-) plane. While the entanglement entropy varies with α, β, the leading divergence is the usual area law if the strip is spacelike; likewise finite cutoff-independent pieces can be estimated. For instance, the AdS_5 plane wave ($g_{++} = Qr^2$, with Q the holographic energy momentum flux T_{++}) gives

the leading divergence $S^{div} \sim N^2 \frac{V_2}{\epsilon^2}$, the finite part being $S^{fin} \sim N^2 V_2 \sqrt{Q} \log(lQ^{1/4})$ [28] (resembling that for a Fermi surface with Fermi momentum $k_F \equiv Q^{1/4}$; this was the original motivation for [14]).

The lightlike limit corresponding to null time x^- slices, is obtained with $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$,

$$l = \Delta x = 2\int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr\ Ar^{d-1}}{\sqrt{g_{++}r^2 - A^2 r^{2d-2}}}\ , \qquad S = \frac{V_{d-2}R^{d-1}}{4G_{d+1}} \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr}{r^{d-1}} \frac{g_{++}r^2}{\sqrt{g_{++}r^2 - A^2 r^{2d-2}}}\ . \quad (7)$$

This is natural from the point of view of the lower dimensional theory obtained by x^+-reduction, where x^- becomes time t below. It can be defined physically as a highly boosted limit of a spacelike subsystem. Consider the boost $x^\pm \to \lambda^{\pm 1} x^\pm$: this transforms the subsystem (5) with $\alpha = 1 = \beta$ to $\alpha = \lambda \gg 1,\ \beta = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ll 1$, giving

$$\frac{l}{2} = \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr\ Ar^{d-1}}{\sqrt{2 + \lambda^2 g_{++}r^2 - A^2 r^{2d-2}}}, \qquad S = \frac{V_{d-2}R^{d-1}}{4G_{d+1}} \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr}{r^{d-1}} \frac{2 + \lambda^2 g_{++}r^2}{\sqrt{2 + \lambda^2 g_{++}r^2 - A^2 r^{2d-2}}}. \quad (8)$$

Under the boost transformation, we see that the entangling surface (correspondingly the induced metric and its area) changes: the entanglement entropy is not boost invariant. For $\lambda = 1$, as $r \to r_{min} = \epsilon$, the term $\lambda^2 g_{++}r^2$ in (8) becomes small compared with the leading '2'. As the boost λ increases, $\lambda^2 g_{++}r^2|_{min} = \lambda^2 g_{++}r^2|_{r=\epsilon}$ increases: thus $\lambda^2 g_{++}r^2$ increases for all r in (8) since $r > r_{min} = \epsilon$. Thus in the regime

$$\lambda^2 g_{++}(\epsilon)\epsilon^2 \ \gtrsim\ 1\ , \quad (9)$$

the '2' can be dropped compared with $\lambda^2 g_{++}r^2$: thus (8) is well approximated by the null case (7). Here g_{++} representing either excited states or a CFT-deformation contains a new length scale and (9) gives a new regime where the g_{++} length scale (after boost) is comparable to the ultraviolet cutoff ϵ. Heuristically, the boundary $r = \epsilon$ now dips sufficiently inward in the bulk to feel the departure from pure AdS due to g_{++}. The strip subsystem (5) now parametrized as $x^- = 0,\ -\frac{l}{2} < x \le \frac{l}{2},\ -\infty < x^+, y_i < \infty$, is stretched along the null x^+-plane. The expressions (8) can be analysed further in this regime (with specific examples later). The turning point of the surface is the location where the denominator in (8) vanishes, *i.e.* $2 + \lambda^2 g_{++}(r_*)r_*^2 - A^2 r_*^{2d-2} = 0$. In the lightlike regime, this is approximated as $\lambda^2 g_{++}(r_*) \sim A^2 r_*^{2d-4}$ (*i.e.* where the denominator in (7) vanishes). The parameter A thus scales as $A^2 \sim \lambda^2 g_{++}(r_*) r_*^{4-2d}$. The width integral becomes $l \sim \int_0^{r_*} \frac{dr(A/\sqrt{\lambda^2 g_{++}})r^{d-2}}{\sqrt{1-(A^2/(\lambda^2 g_{++}))r^{2d-4}}}$ showing $l \sim r_*$. The entanglement becomes $S = \frac{V_{d-2}R^{d-1}}{4G_{d+1}} \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr}{r^{d-1}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 g_{++}r^2}}{\sqrt{1-A^2 r^{2d-2}/(\lambda^2 g_{++}r^2)}}$, showing the leading divergence $\int_\epsilon dr \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 g_{++}r^2}}{r^{d-1}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 g_{++}(\epsilon)}}{\epsilon^{d-3}}$. This new entanglement behaviour in this null limit of the higher dimensional theory is equivalent to (and essentially implied by) the entanglement behaviour in the lower dimensional descriptions via the gauge/string realizations (1), and in particular to

that in hyperscaling violating backgrounds [21, 22, 23] in the gauge/string realizations (3) (4). We will see this in greater detail below.

2.1 AdS null deformations, $g_{++} = K^2 \ \rightarrow \ z = 2$ Lifshitz

Consider first the AdS_{d+1} null deformations (2) which give $z = 2$ Lifshitz in bulk d-dim upon x^+-reduction [4, 5], with $x^- \equiv t$. The g_{++} mode independent of r is sourced by other matter: *e.g.* an axion source $c_0 = Kx^+$ gives $g_{++} \sim (\partial_+ c_0)^2 = K^2$, with K a constant of mass dimension one [11, 10, 13]. The SL(2,Z) duality $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$, *i.e.* $c_0 \rightarrow c_0 + 1$, of IIB string theory means the axion profile is effectively x^+-periodic with periodicity $\frac{1}{K}$. For noncompact x^+, the x^-, x_i-subspace has $z = 2$ Lifshitz scaling, which in the bulk is $(x^-, x_i, r) \rightarrow (\lambda^2 x^-, \lambda x_i, \lambda r)$.

In the upstairs description, we consider holographic entanglement entropy for the AdS-Lifshitz deformation: structurally this is similar to [28] for AdS plane waves. For the strip subsystem (5), the entanglement entropy is (6) with $g_{++} = K^2$. The spacelike subsystem (5) has $\alpha = 1 = \beta$. With $\epsilon \ll \frac{1}{K}$ the leading divergence is the area law $\sim \frac{V_{d-2}}{\epsilon^{d-2}}$ in boundary d-dim, *i.e.* in AdS_{d+1}. The turning point is $2 + K^2 r_*^2 - A^2 r_*^{2d-2} = 0$. For large width l and large K, this is $K^2 \sim A^2 r_*^{2d-4}$, giving $l \sim r_*$ ($\sim \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr \ (A/K) r^{d-2}}{\sqrt{1-(A^2/K^2) r^{2d-4}}}$).

The subsystem (5) with $\alpha = 1 = \beta$ under the boost $x^\pm \rightarrow \lambda^{\pm 1} x^\pm$, with large λ, leads to the regime (9) governing the lightlike limit. In the unboosted theory, this is the regime

$$K^2 \epsilon^2 \ \gtrsim \ 1 \ , \qquad i.e. \qquad K \ \gtrsim \ \epsilon^{-1} \ , \tag{10}$$

i.e. the Lifshitz-deformation scale K is comparable to the UV scale ϵ^{-1}. Equivalently, we have redefined $\lambda K \rightarrow K$ in (9). Since $r > r_{min} = \epsilon$, the entanglement becomes

$$S \sim \ \frac{V_{d-2} R^{d-1}}{4 G_{d+1}} \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr}{r^{d-2}} \frac{K}{\sqrt{1-(A^2/K^2) r^{2d-4}}} \ \sim \ \frac{R^{d-1}}{4 G_{d+1}} \ \frac{V_{d-2} K}{d-3} \Big(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{d-3}} - c_d \frac{1}{l^{d-3}} \Big) \ . \tag{11}$$

The expression (11), identical to that in some auxiliary AdS_d space, is a null limit, $\alpha \sim 1, \beta \sim 0$, (7) of (8). The AdS_4 deformed theory gives $V_1 K N^{3/2} \log \frac{l}{\epsilon}$.

This resembles the entanglement in the lower dimensional Lifshitz$_d$ theory[1]: *i.e.* in the regime (9), (10), on length scales longer than the axion variation scale $\frac{1}{K}$ (with $\epsilon \gtrsim \frac{1}{K}$), entanglement in the higher dimensional AdS_{d+1} deformed theory resembles that in the Lifshitz$_d$ theory, with leading divergence the area law $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{d-3}}$ in boundary $d-1$ dimensions. For length scales much shorter than $\frac{1}{K}$, the Lifshitz deformation has not turned on so the UV structure

[1]From (2), x^+-reduction gives $ds_d^2 = (KR)^{2/(d-2)} R^2 (-\frac{(dx^-)^2}{K^2 r^4} + \frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dr^2}{r^2})$ retaining the length scales of the AdS_{d+1} theory. The entanglement entropy of this Lif$_d$ theory for a strip with width l along x is the area of the minimal surface on a $x^- \equiv t$ slice, $S \sim \frac{K R^{d-1}}{4 G_d} V_{d-3} (\frac{1}{\epsilon^{d-3}} - \frac{1}{l^{d-3}})$. Using $V_{d-2} = V_{d-3} V_+$ with $V_+ = \frac{1}{K}$, and $\frac{V_+}{G_{d+1}} = \frac{1}{G_d}$, this is equivalent to (11).

for $\epsilon \ll \frac{1}{K}$ must be as in AdS_{d+1}: this reflects in the leading divergence $\frac{V_{d-2}}{\epsilon^{d-2}}$, *i.e.* the boundary d-dim area law, consistent with the Lif_d theory arising only on scales large relative to $\frac{1}{K}$.

The finite entanglement $S^{finite} \sim \frac{R^{d-1}/G_{d+1}}{3-d}\frac{V_{d-2}K}{l^{d-3}}$ (and $N^{3/2}V_1 K\log(lK)$ for $d=3$) in a sense contains the IR degrees of freedom encoding entanglement: as the width l increases, the extremal surface dips deeper into the interior ($r_* \sim l$). Comparing with AdS_{d+1}, the finite part in the Lifshitz case is larger for $l \gg \frac{1}{K}$. Perhaps this is a reflection of more soft modes in the Lifshitz theory (compared with a relativistic CFT) responsible for the IR singularities [2, 34], stemming from the $\omega \sim k^z$ dispersion relation in field theory (see also [35]).

2.2 AdS_{d+1} plane waves: $g_{++} = Qr^{d-2}$

Now let us consider AdS_{d+1} plane waves (3). Using (8), we have the turning point equation $2 + \lambda^2 Q r_*^d - A^2 r_*^{2d-2} = 0$, which for $\lambda^2 Q r_*^d \gg 1$ is well approximated by $\lambda^2 Q r_*^d - A^2 r_*^{2d-2} = 0$. Here the regime (9) is

$$\lambda^2 Q \epsilon^d \gtrsim 1 \ , \qquad i.e. \qquad P'_+ \gtrsim \ \epsilon^{-1} \ , \tag{12}$$

i.e. the elemental lightcone momentum $P_+ = T_{++}\Delta x^+ \Delta^{d-2}x|_\epsilon$ at scale ϵ in the boosted frame is comparable to the UV cutoff ϵ^{-1} (the transverse area $\Delta^{d-2}x$ is boost invariant). In this regime, $\lambda^2 Q r^d \gtrsim 1$ for all r-values appearing in (8) since $r > \epsilon$: the entanglement (8) for the AdS_{d+1} plane wave is well-approximated by the corresponding expressions (7) at null slicing

$$\frac{l}{2} \sim \int_\epsilon^{r_*} dr \frac{(A/\sqrt{\lambda^2 Q})\ r^{d/2-1}}{\sqrt{1-(A^2/\lambda^2 Q)r^{d-2}}} \sim r_*, \quad S \sim \frac{V_{d-2}R^{d-1}}{4G_{d+1}} \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr}{r^{d/2-1}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 Q}}{\sqrt{1-(A^2/\lambda^2 Q)r^{d-2}}}. \tag{13}$$

In this highly boosted limit, we are effectively probing entanglement at scales $P'_+ \gtrsim \epsilon$. Equivalently, the regime (9) moves us into the hyperscaling violating regime.

From (13) we see that the null entanglement integral for the AdS_{d+1} plane wave excited states is identical to that for a spacelike strip subsystem in an auxiliary pure AdS space in $(\frac{d}{2}+1)$-dim (*e.g.* using (6) with $\alpha = \beta = 1,\ g_{++} = 0$): it can be evaluated exactly as for AdS

$$l \sim r_* \ , \qquad S \sim \frac{R^{d-1}}{4G_{d+1}} \frac{V_{d-2}\sqrt{\lambda^2 Q}}{d-4} \Big(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\frac{d}{2}-2}} - c_d \frac{1}{l^{\frac{d}{2}-2}} \Big) \ , \tag{14}$$

using the turning point $\lambda^2 Q r_*^d = A^2 r_*^{2d-2}$, with c_d a constant. The lightlike limit of entanglement (13), (14) in the AdS_{d+1} plane wave in the regime (9) is essentially the entanglement with ordinary time slicing in a theory in $\frac{d}{2}$-dim: this is in fact the lower dimensional theory with hyperscaling violation (after x^+-reduction), living in an effective dimension $d_{eff} = d-1-\theta = \frac{d}{2}$, using (4). Since $d_{eff} < d-1$ for $d > 2$, the leading divergence is milder than the usual area law (see (6)). For the AdS_5 plane wave dual to 4d SYM CFT excited states, (13) reduces to

$$\frac{l}{2} = \int_0^{r_*} \frac{dr\ r(A/\sqrt{\lambda^2 Q})}{\sqrt{1-\frac{A^2}{\lambda^2 Q}r^2}} \sim (\#) r_*, \quad S \sim \frac{V_2 R^3}{4G_5} \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr\ \sqrt{\lambda^2 Q}}{r\sqrt{1-\frac{A^2}{\lambda^2 Q}r^2}} \sim N^2 V_2 \sqrt{\lambda^2 Q}\ \log\frac{l}{\epsilon}, \tag{15}$$

discussed previously in [16, 28]. This is reminiscent of a 2-dim CFT with central charge $N^2V_2\sqrt{Q}$. From (13), the AdS_4 plane wave (with just one transverse dimension) gives $S \sim V_1 N^{3/2}\sqrt{\lambda^2 Q}(\sqrt{l}-\sqrt{\epsilon})$, with no divergence, valid if $\epsilon \gtrsim \frac{1}{(\lambda^2 Q)^{1/3}}$. (The change in entanglement ΔS is as in the lower dimensional theory.) Pure AdS corresponds to the CFT ground state: for null slicing (7), entanglement entropy vanishes since $g_{++}=0$. From (1), we see the entangling surface degenerates.

2.3 Boosted black branes

Consider black D3-branes $ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{r^2}[-(1-r_0^4 r^4)dt^2 + dx_3^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 dx_i^2] + \frac{R^2 dr^2}{r^2(1-r_0^4 r^4)}$ and lightcone coordinates $x^\pm$ as $T = \frac{x^+ + x^-}{\sqrt{2}}$, $x^3 = \frac{x^+ - x^-}{\sqrt{2}}$. Boosting as $x^\pm \to \lambda^{\pm 1} x^\pm$ [36] gives

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{r^2}\big(-2dx^+dx^- + \frac{r_0^4 r^4}{2}(\lambda dx^+ + \lambda^{-1}dx^-)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 dx_i^2\big) + \frac{R^2 dr^2}{r^2(1-r_0^4 r^4)} \ .$$

For large boost λ and low temperature r_0, with $Q = \frac{\lambda^2 r_0^4}{2}$, this approaches the (near extremal) AdS_5 plane wave (3). For the subsystem (5), we have the entanglement area functional [28] $S = \frac{V_2 R^3}{4G_5}\int \frac{dr}{r^3}\sqrt{(\partial_r x)^2 + \frac{1}{1-r_0^4 r^4}}\sqrt{2\alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda^2 r_0^4 r^4}{2}(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2})^2}$ for the boosted black brane giving

$$S = \frac{V_2 R^3}{4G_5}\int_\epsilon^{r_*}\frac{dr}{r^3}\frac{2\alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda^2 r_0^4 r^4}{2}(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2})^2}{\sqrt{1-r_0^4 r^4}\sqrt{2\alpha\beta + \frac{\lambda^2 r_0^4 r^4}{2}(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2})^2 - A^2 r^6}} \ , \tag{16}$$

and $\Delta x = l \sim r_*$, after extremizing. For large boost λ, we have $\frac{\beta}{\lambda^2} \to 0$ and in the regime $\lambda^2 r_0^4 \epsilon^4 \gtrsim 1$, the second term in the radical (containing $\lambda^2 r_0^4 r^4$) is always greater than the first: thus this resembles the entanglement $S \sim \frac{V_2 R^3}{4G_5}\int_\epsilon^{r_*}\frac{dr}{r}\frac{(\lambda^2 r_0^4/2)}{\sqrt{1-r_0^4 r^4}\sqrt{(\lambda^2 r_0^4/2) - A^2 r^2}}$ for a null subsystem (setting $\alpha \sim 1$). The boost parameter introduces a separation of scales. The entanglement (16) in the regime $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda} r_0} \lesssim \epsilon \ll r_* \ll \frac{1}{r_0}$, becomes $S \sim N^2 V_2 \sqrt{Q}\ \log\frac{l}{\epsilon}$, as for the AdS_5 plane wave (15) which is regulated by the boosted black brane. For an unboosted brane $\lambda = 1$, this null entanglement ($\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$) is $S \sim\ N^2 V_2 \frac{r_0^2}{\sqrt{2}}\ \log\frac{l}{\epsilon} + \frac{N^2 V_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{r_0^6}{l^4} + \ldots$ Thus the 4-dim SYM CFT thermal state with null time slicing in the regime $\epsilon \gtrsim \frac{1}{r_0}$ exhibits entanglement (16) with a leading logarithmic divergence. In the far IR limit $l \sim r_* \sim \frac{1}{r_0}$, this is extensive, resembling the usual thermal entropy, expected from the lower dimensional theory.

2.4 Nonconformal D-brane plane waves

The string metric and dilaton for nonconformal Dp-brane plane waves [31] (see also [15]) are

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{st}^2 &= \frac{r^{(7-p)/2}}{R_p^{(7-p)/2}}dx_\parallel^2 + \frac{G_{10}Q_p}{R_p^{(7-p)/2}}\frac{(dx^+)^2}{r^{(7-p)/2}} + R_p^{(7-p)/2}\frac{dr^2}{r^{(7-p)/2}} + R_p^{(7-p)/2} r^{(p-3)/2} d\Omega_{8-p}^2 \ , \\ e^\Phi &= g_s\Big(\frac{R_p^{7-p}}{r^{7-p}}\Big)^{\frac{3-p}{4}}; \quad g_{YM}^2 \sim g_s \alpha'^{(p-3)/2} \ , \qquad R_p^{7-p} \sim g_{YM}^2 N \alpha'^{5-p} \sim g_s N \alpha'^{(7-p)/2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

(The ultraviolet is towards large r here.) As in the conformal cases, the g_{++} term is obtained from the non-conformal finite temperature solutions [37] in a large boost λ, low temperature limit holding the energy-momentum density $\frac{\lambda^2\varepsilon_{p+1}}{2} \equiv Q_p$ fixed (with $(U_0\alpha')^{7-p} \sim G_{10}\varepsilon_{p+1}$ and $U = \frac{r}{\alpha'}$). These describe strongly coupled Yang-Mills theories with energy flux T_{++}. The Einstein metric $ds_E^2 = e^{-\Phi/2}ds_{st}^2$, upon dimensional reduction on S^{8-p} and the x^+-direction, gives hyperscaling violating spacetimes (4) with exponents $\theta = \frac{p^2-6p+7}{p-5}$, $z = \frac{2(p-6)}{p-5}$. With r_{UV} the UV cutoff and r_* the turning point, the entanglement entropy [31] for the subsystem (5) is $S_A \sim \frac{V_{p-1}R_p^{7-p}}{G_{10}} \int_{r_*}^{r_{UV}} drr \sqrt{2\beta + \alpha\frac{G_{10}Q}{r^{7-p}}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{r^{7-p}}{R_p^{7-p}}(\partial_r x)^2}$. The lightlike limit $\beta = 0$ gives

$$l = \Delta x = \int_{r_*}^{r_{UV}} \frac{dr\ AR_p^{7-p}}{r^{8-p}\sqrt{\frac{G_{10}Q}{r^{7-p}} - \frac{A^2R_p^{7-p}}{r^{9-p}}}}, \qquad S \sim \frac{V_{p-1}R_p^{7-p}}{G_{10}} \int_{r_*}^{r_{UV}} drr\ \frac{\frac{G_{10}Q}{r^{7-p}}}{\sqrt{\frac{G_{10}Q}{r^{7-p}} - \frac{A^2R_p^{7-p}}{r^{9-p}}}}, \quad (18)$$

with turning point $G_{10}Qr_*^2 = A^2R_p^{7-p}$. These again are similar to spacelike subsystems in pure AdS, so that

$$S \sim \frac{V_{p-1}\sqrt{Q}}{(3-p)\sqrt{G_{10}}} \frac{R_p^{7-p}}{r^{(3-p)/2}}\Big|_{r_*}^{r_{UV}} \sim \frac{V_{p-1}\sqrt{QN^2}}{3-p} \Big(\frac{(\sqrt{g_{YM}^2N})^{d_{eff}-2}}{\epsilon^{d_{eff}-2}} - \frac{(\sqrt{g_{YM}^2N})^{d_{eff}-2}}{l^{d_{eff}-2}} \Big). \quad (19)$$

We have used (17) to recast the bulk result in field theory variables $l \sim \frac{R_p^{(7-p)/2}}{r_*^{(5-p)/2}}$, $\epsilon \sim \frac{R_p^{(7-p)/2}}{r_{UV}^{(5-p)/2}}$. The effective dimension here, after x^+-reduction, works out to $d_{eff} = \frac{7-p}{5-p} = p - \theta$, with $d_{eff} < p$ for the cases $p = 2, 3, 4$ of relevance. For ground states $Q = 0$, the entanglement vanishes.

In terms of the scale-dependent number of degrees of freedom $N_{eff}(s) = N^2\big(\frac{g_{YM}^2N}{s^{p-3}}\big)^{\frac{p-3}{5-p}}$ [38] the finite part of entanglement can be written [31] as $\frac{\sqrt{N_{eff}(l)}}{3-p}\ \frac{V_{p-1}\sqrt{Q}}{l^{(p-3)/2}}$: the form (19) makes manifest the lightlike limit as equivalent to the lower dimensional theory.

3 On null entanglement in field theory excited states

We have discussed entanglement entropy in the strongly coupled regime for strip subsystems (5) in a lightlike limit using the Ryu-Takayanagi prescription. We will now make some comments on field theory in excited states with energy-momentum density $T_{++} = Q$ nonzero. We recall (14) for CFT$_d$ excited states, *i.e.*

$$S_{div} \sim N^2\sqrt{Q}\ \frac{V_{d-2}}{\epsilon^{d_{eff}-2}} = N^2\sqrt{Q\epsilon^d}\ \frac{V_{d-2}}{\epsilon^{d-2}}, \qquad d_{eff} = \frac{d}{2}. \quad (20)$$

The leading divergence (20) is less severe than the usual area law $\frac{V_{d-2}}{\epsilon^{d-2}}$ for the ground state [32]. For $d = 4$, we obtain a logarithmic divergence, the entanglement scaling as $\log\frac{l}{\epsilon}$. Heuristicically, the short distance "entangling degrees of freedom" or "partons" with this null slicing are fewer

than with ordinary time slicing. For the 3d Chern-Simons CFTs arising on M2-branes, we replace $N^2 \to N^{3/2}$: there is no divergence in this case.

For the ground state, (20) vanishes, suggesting that there are no partons encoding entanglement in the null limit: indeed the $\sqrt{Q\epsilon^d}$ factor reflects energy enhancement. This appears consistent with the ultralocality axiom discussed in [33], *i.e.* all n-point functions of field operators on the constant x^- null surface located on n distinct generators, equivalently at points with spacelike separation $\Delta x_i > 0$, vanish (see also [29, 30]).

By ultralocality, correlation functions vanish and so entanglement must also vanish. While this is true for ground states, it may not hold for excited states, consistent with the entanglement exhibiting the milder divergence we have discussed so far. In this regard, we now heuristically discuss some simple free field correlation functions in lightfront quantization (see *e.g.* [39, 40, 41] and references therein; our notation here uses x^- as lightcone time however) which corroborate this. Consider a 4-dim massless scalar with mode expansion and commutation relations

$$\phi = \int d^2k_i \int_0^\infty \frac{dk_+}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2k_+}} \left(a_{k_+,k_i} e^{-ik_+x^+ - ik_ix^i - i\frac{k_i^2}{2k_+}x^-} \; + \; a^\dagger_{k_+,k_i} e^{ik_+x^+ + ik_ix^i + i\frac{k_i^2}{2k_+}x^-} \right),$$
$$[a_{k_+,k_i}, a^\dagger_{k'_+,k'_i}] = (2\pi)^3 \delta(k_+ - k'_+)\delta^2(k_i - k'_i) \; , \qquad a_{k_+,k_i}|0\rangle = 0 \; . \tag{21}$$

A positive frequency mode has $k_- = \frac{k_i^2}{2k_+} > 0$. This imposes $k_+ \geq 0$ in the sum over modes, and excitations must have positive lightcone momentum k_+: small k_+ modes are high energy (we will not worry about zero mode issues here). With $T_{++} = (\partial_+\phi)^2$, the operator $P_+ = \int dx^+ d^2x_i T_{++}$ measuring lightcone momentum is

$$P_+ = \int dk_+ d^2k_i \; k_+ \; a^\dagger_{k_+,k_i} a_{k_+,k_i} \tag{22}$$

dropping normal ordering terms. A simple excited state contributing to nonzero T_{++} and so P_+ is

$$|k_+ \neq 0\rangle \; = \; a^\dagger_{k_+}|0\rangle \; , \qquad P_+|k_+\rangle = k_+|k_+\rangle \; . \tag{23}$$

It can be checked that a 2-point function $\langle 0|\partial_+\phi(x_1)\; \partial_+\phi(x_2)|0\rangle$ on an $x^- = const$ surface, with x_i^1 and x_i^2 distinct, in the vacuum or ground state vanishes (as do n point functions): these contain terms of the form $\int d^2k_i e^{-ik_i\Delta x^i} \sim \delta(\Delta x_i)$ which vanish for $\Delta x_i \neq 0$. For excited states of the form above, such a 2-point function is

$$\langle k_+|\; \partial_+\phi(x^1_+, x^1_i)\partial_+\phi(x^2_+, x^2_i)\; |k_+\rangle \; \sim \; \langle 0|a_{k_+}\; \partial_+\phi(x^1_+, x^1_i)\partial_+\phi(x^2_+, x^2_i)\; a^\dagger_{k_+}|0\rangle \; \neq \; 0 \; . \tag{24}$$

The term $\langle 0|\int_{k_1}\int_{k_2}(ik^1_+)(-ik^2_+)\; a_{k_+}a^\dagger_{k^1_+,k^1_i}a_{k^2_+,k^2_i}a^\dagger_{k_+}e^{ik^1\cdot x^1 - ik^2\cdot x^2}|0\rangle$, with $\int_k \equiv \int d^2k_i \int_0^\infty \frac{dk_+}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2k_+}}$ and $\delta(k^1, k^2) \equiv \delta(k^1_+ - k^2_+)\delta^2(k^1_i - k^2_i)$ gives $\langle 0|\int_{k_1}\int_{k_2}(ik^1_+)(-ik^2_+)\; \delta(k_+, 2)\delta(1, k_+)e^{ik^2\cdot x^2 - ik^1\cdot x^1}|0\rangle$ $\sim k_+ e^{ik_+\Delta x^+_{12}}$. Another similar term arises with $1 \leftrightarrow 2$. These terms are nonzero for generic

x_1, x_2. This is quite different from a 4-point function in the ground state, which also vanishes. Similar calculations apply for higher point correlation functions (in states like $\prod_i a^\dagger_{k_{i,+}}|0\rangle$). Gauge fields in lightcone gauge $A_+ = 0$ have some structural similarities. It would be interesting to study correlation functions systematically using smearing functions, constructing normalizable states (23) etc: in this case, $\partial_+\phi$ gives a good operator-valued distribution (possible UV divergences in its correlators, at small k_+ or equivalently large k_-, can be controlled by smearing along the lightfront directions). Entanglement entropy is related to 2-point correlation functions using correlation matrices (see *e.g.* [43]), suggesting nonvanishing entanglement entropy at weak coupling: this would be interesting to study in detail.

The expression (20) is recovered if the field theory is taken to live on a space

$$ds^2 = -2dx^+dx^- + g^2(dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-2} dx_i^2 \ , \qquad\qquad g^2 = T_{++}\epsilon^d \gtrsim 1 \ . \tag{25}$$

The dimensionless parameter g^2 is proportional to the energy density T_{++} and contains appropriate powers of the cutoff ϵ. In the ground state, $T_{++} = 0 \ \Rightarrow \ g^2 = 0$ so the x^- direction is null, representing lightcone time. For excited states with $T_{++} = Q$, the x^+ direction formerly null "puffs up". Discussions of lightfront quantization often use the space (25) with g^2 a regulator (see *e.g.* [41, 42]): in the present case g^2 is in a sense physical, as reflected in entanglement entropy. Now a constant x^- surface is spacelike (with a timelike normal since $g^{--} = -g^2 < 0$). The relation between x^- and lightcone time X^- is $x^- = X^- + \frac{g^2}{2}x^+$: thus x^- is not null but timelike in the boundary theory if $g^2 \sim O(1)$. This corroborates with the bulk: for AdS plane waves (3), the field theory lives on the boundary $r = \epsilon$ with metric (25). The condition $g^2 \sim O(1)$ is equivalent to the lightlike regime (9) with new divergence behaviour in the bulk entanglement.

We consider entanglement in this weak coupling field theory on the space (25) for a strip (5) with width l. When $g^2 \ll 1$ and we use time $T = \frac{x^+ + x^-}{\sqrt{2}}$, the entanglement leading divergence is the usual area law $S^t_{div} \ \sim \ N^2 \frac{V_{x^3} V_{y_i}}{\epsilon^{d-2}}$. Now with $g^2 \sim O(1)$ in the regime (9), we consider x^- as time, with entanglement on constant x^- slices. For a strip (5) stretched along x^+, the usual area law divergence for ground states in the space (25) is

$$S_{div} \ \sim \ N^2 \frac{V_{x^+} V_{y_i}}{\epsilon^{d-2}} \ = \ N^2 V_{d-2} \frac{\sqrt{T_{++}\epsilon^d}}{\epsilon^{d-2}} \ = \ N^2 \sqrt{Q} \frac{V_{d-2}}{\epsilon^{d_{eff}-2}} \ , \qquad d_{eff} = \frac{d}{2} \ . \tag{26}$$

This is in agreement with the bulk scaling (14) (20) in the lightlike regime, with an effective scaling dimension (in the hyperscaling violating regime) arising from the energetic "puffing up" of the originally null x^+ direction. The g^2 term in a sense encodes the backreaction of the excited state in the original space (with $g^2 = 0$ and x^+ null): this gives the usual area law (26) for the new ground state in the backreacted space (25).

For nonconformal theories, the space on which the field theory lives is again (25), with

$$g \;=\; \sqrt{\frac{G_{10}Q}{r_{UV}^{7-p}}} \;=\; \frac{\sqrt{Q}\;\epsilon^{d_{eff}}}{N(g_{YM}^2N)^{d_{eff}-2}}\;, \qquad \epsilon \sim \frac{R_p^{(7-p)/2}}{r_{UV}^{(5-p)/2}}\;, \qquad d_{eff} = \frac{7-p}{5-p}\;, \tag{27}$$

using (17). The usual area law divergence for nonconformal theories on such a space, using (27), gives $S_{div} \sim N_{eff}(\epsilon)\frac{V_{x^+}V_{y_i}}{\epsilon^{d-2}} = N(g_{YM}^2N)^{(d_{eff}-2)/2}\sqrt{Q}\frac{V_{d-2}}{\epsilon^{d_{eff}-2}}$, of the form (19) in the bulk.

4 Discussion

We have described a null limit of entanglement entropy for theories with a g_{++} deformation (1) arising in gauge/string realizations of Lifshitz and hyperscaling violating nonrelativistic holography, the strip subsystem stretched along a null x^+-plane. This shows a milder leading divergence, perhaps consistent with ultralocality in a field theory perspective, and implied in the gauge/string realizations (1) by entanglement behaviour in hyperscaling violating backgrounds [21, 22, 23].

One can also consider null intervals, *i.e.* spacelike strip subsystems with width l along the x^+-direction, $\Delta x^+ = -\Delta x^- = \frac{l}{\sqrt{2}}$, $-\infty < y_i < \infty$, (although this has no natural interpretation after x^+-reduction). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta x^+}{2} \;&=\; \int_0^{r_*} \frac{dr\; r^{d-1}}{\sqrt{A^2B^2 + g_{++}r^{2d} - 2Br^{2(d-1)}}}, \quad \frac{\Delta x^-}{2} = \int_0^{r_*} \frac{dr\; r^{d-1}(g_{++}r^2 - B)}{\sqrt{A^2B^2 + g_{++}r^{2d} - 2Br^{2(d-1)}}}, \\
S \;&=\; \frac{2R^{d-1}V_{d-2}}{4G_{d+1}} \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr}{r^{d-1}} \frac{AB}{\sqrt{A^2B^2 + g_{++}r^{2d} - 2Br^{2(d-1)}}},
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

from the entanglement area functional $S = \frac{V_{d-2}R^{d-1}}{2G_{d+1}} \int \frac{dr}{r^{d-1}} \sqrt{1 - 2(\partial_r x^+)(\partial_r x^-) + g_{++}r^2(\partial_r x^+)^2}$. For AdS plane waves, this was studied in [28], and a phase transition was observed, with no connected extremal surface for large l. The Δx^+ and Δx^- integrals have widely different scaling. The boost $x^\pm \to \lambda^{\pm 1}x^\pm$ scales up the g_{++} term in the entanglement area functional and (28) as before, eventually making Δx^- positive (a spacelike subsystem requires $\Delta x^- < 0$). The critical value occurs at $g_{++}r^2|_{r_c} \sim B$: for AdS plane waves $r_c \sim Q^{-1/d}$.

Unlike (8), (7), the null time x^- slicing does not arise as a highly boosted limit $\Delta x^+ \sim l$, $\Delta x^- \to 0$, of entanglement (28) for spacelike subsystems, perhaps not surprising from the lower dimensional description. The boosted black 3-brane (which near extremality is the regulated AdS_5 plane wave) exhibits similar behaviour. A null limit arises [30] under a boost plus dilation, scaling down all non-vacuum terms with the g_{++} term being the most dominant: this then becomes similar to the AdS_5 plane wave. The entanglement can now be expanded and evaluated relative to the vacuum contribution [30]: $g_{++} \sim r^{d-2}T_{++}$ gives $\Delta S \sim \int g(x^+)\langle T_{++}\rangle$ with some function $g(x^+)$.

For the AdS-Lifshitz deformation, the entanglement is of the form (28), with $g_{++} = K^2$. As for AdS plane waves, there is a phase transition here, with critical value $r_c \sim l_c \sim \frac{1}{K}$. Under the boost plus dilation $x^+ \to x^+,\ x^- \to \eta^2 x^-,\ r \to \eta r$, with $\eta \to 0$ as in [30], the g_{++} term does not scale down here, structurally similar to the AdS_3 plane wave (3). The Lifshitz g_{++} term is a non-normalizable deformation, sourced by *e.g.* the lightlike dilaton/axion. Treating this as a small perturbation to AdS (with $\epsilon \ll \frac{1}{K}$), we can expand (28), as in [44] for AdS plane waves (and more generally [45, 46, 47, 48, 49] for excited states), except this is not an excited state but a nontrivial CFT deformation. In this Lifshitz case, $r_* \sim l$, and $AB = r_*^{d-1}\sqrt{2B - K^2 r_*^2}$ from the turning point equation. Expanding about $B = 1, K = 0$ (as in AdS) gives

$$S \sim \frac{V_{d-2}R^{d-1}}{G_{d+1}} \int_\epsilon^{r_*} \frac{dr}{r^{d-1}} \frac{\sqrt{1 - K^2 r_*^2/2B}}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{r}{r_*})^{2(d-1)}}\sqrt{1 - \frac{K^2 r_*^2 (1-(r/r_*)^{2d})}{2B(1-(\frac{r}{r_*})^{2(d-1)})}}} \Rightarrow \Delta S \sim \frac{R^{d-1}}{2G_{d+1}} V_{d-2} K^2 l^{4-d} \mathcal{M}, \tag{29}$$

where the constant can be shown to be $\mathcal{M} > 0$. There is no simple relation here between the g_{++} deformation and the holographic stress tensor. It might be interesting to explore this.

Acknowledgments: I thank A. Laddha, J. Maldacena and T. Takayanagi for helpful conversations, and N. Sircar and S. Trivedi for many discussions on entanglement and correlation matrices. I also thank the Organizers of the Strings 2014 conference, Princeton/IAS for hospitality while this work was in progress.

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999)] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200]; S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory," Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802109]; E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space and holography," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].

[2] S. Kachru, X. Liu and M. Mulligan, "Gravity Duals of Lifshitz-like Fixed Points," Phys. Rev. D **78**, 106005 (2008) [arXiv:0808.1725 [hep-th]].

[3] M. Taylor, "Non-relativistic holography," arXiv:0812.0530 [hep-th].

[4] K. Balasubramanian and K. Narayan, "Lifshitz spacetimes from AdS null and cosmological solutions," JHEP **1008**, 014 (2010) [arXiv:1005.3291 [hep-th]].

[5] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, "Lifshitz Solutions of D=10 and D=11 supergravity," JHEP **1012**, 002 (2010) [arXiv:1008.2062 [hep-th]].

[6] R. Gregory, S. L. Parameswaran, G. Tasinato and I. Zavala, "Lifshitz solutions in supergravity and string theory," JHEP **1012**, 047 (2010) [arXiv:1009.3445 [hep-th]].

[7] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett, N. Kim and O. Varela, "Wrapped M5-branes, consistent truncations and AdS/CMT," JHEP **1012**, 003 (2010) [arXiv:1009.3805 [hep-th]].

[8] D. Cassani and A. F. Faedo, "Constructing Lifshitz solutions from AdS," arXiv:1102.5344 [hep-th];

[9] N. Halmagyi, M. Petrini and A. Zaffaroni, "Non-Relativistic Solutions of N=2 Gauged Supergravity," arXiv:1102.5740 [hep-th];

[10] W. Chemissany and J. Hartong, "From D3-Branes to Lifshitz Space-Times," Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 195011 (2011) [arXiv:1105.0612 [hep-th]].

[11] K. Narayan, "Lifshitz-like systems and AdS null deformations," Phys. Rev. D **84**, 086001 (2011) [arXiv:1103.1279 [hep-th]].

[12] R. N. Caldeira Costa and M. Taylor, "Holography for chiral scale-invariant models," JHEP **1102**, 082 (2011) [arXiv:1010.4800 [hep-th]].

[13] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, "String theory duals of Lifshitz-Chern-Simons gauge theories," Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 194007 (2012) [arXiv:1111.0634 [hep-th]].

[14] K. Narayan, "On Lifshitz scaling and hyperscaling violation in string theory," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 106006 (2012) [arXiv:1202.5935 [hep-th]].

[15] H. Singh, "Lifshitz/Schródinger Dp-branes and dynamical exponents," JHEP **1207** (2012) 082 [arXiv:1202.6533 [hep-th]].

[16] K. Narayan, "AdS null deformations with inhomogeneities," Phys. Rev. D **86**, 126004 (2012) [arXiv:1209.4348 [hep-th]].

[17] S. S. Gubser and F. D. Rocha, "Peculiar properties of a charged dilatonic black hole in AdS_5," Phys. Rev. D **81**, 046001 (2010) [arXiv:0911.2898 [hep-th]].

[18] M. Cadoni, G. D'Appollonio and P. Pani, "Phase transitions between Reissner-Nordstrom and dilatonic black holes in 4D AdS spacetime," JHEP **1003**, 100 (2010) [arXiv:0912.3520 [hep-th]].

[19] K. Goldstein, S. Kachru, S. Prakash, S. P. Trivedi, "Holography of Charged Dilaton Black Holes," JHEP **1008**, 078 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3586 [hep-th]]; N. Iizuka, N. Kundu, P. Narayan and S. P. Trivedi, "Holographic Fermi and Non-Fermi Liquids with Transitions in Dilaton Gravity," JHEP **1201**, 094 (2012) [arXiv:1105.1162 [hep-th]].

[20] C. Charmousis, B. Gouteraux, B. S. Kim, E. Kiritsis and R. Meyer, "Effective Holographic Theories for low-temperature condensed matter systems," JHEP **1011**, 151 (2010) [arXiv:1005.4690 [hep-th]].

[21] N. Ogawa, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, "Holographic Fermi Surfaces and Entanglement Entropy," JHEP **1201**, 125 (2012) [arXiv:1111.1023 [hep-th]].

[22] L. Huijse, S. Sachdev and B. Swingle, "Hidden Fermi surfaces in compressible states of gauge-gravity duality," Phys. Rev. B **85**, 035121 (2012) [arXiv:1112.0573 [cond-mat.str-el]].

[23] X. Dong, S. Harrison, S. Kachru, G. Torroba and H. Wang, "Aspects of holography for theories with hyperscaling violation," JHEP **1206**, 041 (2012) [arXiv:1201.1905 [hep-th]].

[24] P. Dey and S. Roy, "Lifshitz-like space-time from intersecting branes in string/M theory," JHEP **1206**, 129 (2012) [arXiv:1203.5381 [hep-th]]; P. Dey and S. Roy, "From AdS to Schrdinger/Lifshitz dual space-times without or with hyperscaling violation," JHEP **1311**, 113 (2013) [arXiv:1306.1071 [hep-th]].

[25] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT," Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 181602 (2006) [hep-th/0603001].

[26] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy," JHEP **0608**, 045 (2006) [hep-th/0605073].

[27] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, "A Covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal," JHEP **0707** (2007) 062 [arXiv:0705.0016 [hep-th]].

[28] K. Narayan, T. Takayanagi and S. P. Trivedi, "AdS plane waves and entanglement entropy," JHEP **1304**, 051 (2013) [arXiv:1212.4328 [hep-th]].

[29] R. Bousso, H. Casini, Z. Fisher and J. Maldacena, "Proof of a Quantum Bousso Bound," Phys. Rev. D **90**, 044002 (2014) [arXiv:1404.5635 [hep-th]].

[30] R. Bousso, H. Casini, Z. Fisher and J. Maldacena, "Entropy on a null surface for interacting quantum field theories and the Bousso bound," arXiv:1406.4545 [hep-th].

[31] K. Narayan, "Non-conformal brane plane waves and entanglement entropy," Phys. Lett. B **726**, 370 (2013) [arXiv:1304.6697 [hep-th]].

[32] L. Bombelli, R. K. Koul, J. Lee and R. D. Sorkin, "A Quantum Source of Entropy for Black Holes," Phys. Rev. D **34** (1986) 373; M. Srednicki, "Entropy and area," Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 666 [hep-th/9303048].

[33] A. C. Wall, "A proof of the generalized second law for rapidly changing fields and arbitrary horizon slices," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 104049 (2012) [Erratum-ibid. D **87**, no. 6, 069904 (2013)] [arXiv:1105.3445 [gr-qc]].

[34] G. T. Horowitz, B. Way, "Lifshitz Singularities," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 046008(2012) [arXiv:1111.1243 [hep-th]].

[35] T. Andrade, Y. Lei and S. F. Ross, "Scattering amplitudes in Lifshitz spacetime," arXiv:1406.6389 [hep-th].

[36] J. Maldacena, D. Martelli and Y. Tachikawa, "Comments on string theory backgrounds with non-relativistic conformal symmetry," JHEP **0810**, 072 (2008) [arXiv:0807.1100 [hep-th]].

[37] N. Itzhaki, J. M. Maldacena, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, "Supergravity and the large N limit of theories with sixteen supercharges," Phys. Rev. D **58**, 046004 (1998) [hep-th/9802042].

[38] J. L. F. Barbon and C. A. Fuertes, "Holographic entanglement entropy probes (non)locality," JHEP **0804**, 096 (2008) [arXiv:0803.1928 [hep-th]].

[39] L. Susskind, "The World as a hologram," J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995) [hep-th/9409089].

[40] A. Harindranath, "An Introduction to light front dynamics for pedestrians," hep-ph/9612244.

[41] M. Burkardt, "Light front quantization," Adv. Nucl. Phys. **23**, 1 (1996) [hep-ph/9505259].

[42] S. Hellerman and J. Polchinski, "Compactification in the lightlike limit," Phys. Rev. D **59**, 125002 (1999) [hep-th/9711037].

[43] I. Peschel and V. Eisler, "Reduced density matrices and entanglement entropy in free lattice models", J.Phys.,A42,504003 (2009), [arXiv:0906.1663 [cond-mat]].

[44] D. Mukherjee and K. Narayan, "AdS plane waves, entanglement and mutual information," Phys. Rev. D **90**, 026003 (2014) [arXiv:1405.3553 [hep-th]].

[45] J. Bhattacharya, M. Nozaki, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, "Thermodynamical Property of Entanglement Entropy for Excited States," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, no. 9, 091602 (2013) [arXiv:1212.1164].

[46] D. Allahbakhshi, M. Alishahiha and A. Naseh, "Entanglement Thermodynamics," JHEP **1308**, 102 (2013) [arXiv:1305.2728 [hep-th]].

[47] G. Wong, I. Klich, L. A. Pando Zayas and D. Vaman, "Entanglement Temperature and Entanglement Entropy of Excited States," JHEP **1312**, 020 (2013) [arXiv:1305.3291 [hep-th]].

[48] D. D. Blanco, H. Casini, L. -Y. Hung and R. C. Myers, "Relative Entropy and Holography," JHEP **1308**, 060 (2013) [arXiv:1305.3182 [hep-th]].

[49] N. Lashkari, M. B. McDermott and M. Van Raamsdonk, "Gravitational Dynamics From Entanglement "Thermodynamics"," JHEP **1404**, 195 (2014) [arXiv:1308.3716 [hep-th]].